



PACIFIC NORTHERN GAS LTD.

**ANNUAL
INFORMATION FORM**

For the period covering 12 months ending December 31, 2006

March 15, 2007

TABLE OF CONTENTS

GLOSSARY	4
SPECIAL NOTE REGARDING FORWARD LOOKING STATEMENTS	4
THE COMPANY	4
Corporate Structure	4
General Development of the Business	5
Trends and Competition	7
BUSINESS OF THE COMPANY	8
Overview	8
Western System	10
Transmission Pipeline System	10
Distribution System and Franchise Agreements	10
Northeast System	11
Transmission and Distribution Facilities	11
Franchise Agreements	11
Properties	12
Gas Sales and Transportation	12
Large Industrial Customers	14
Overview	14
Methanex	14
West Fraser	15
Alcan	15
BC Hydro	16
New Skeena Forest Products Inc.	16
Natural Gas Supply	16
Customer Additions	17
Government Regulation	18
General	18
Rate Regulation	18
Western System	20
Northeast System	21
Rate Base and Common Equity	22
Engineering and Operations	23
In-Line Inspection	23
Khyex River Slide	23
Social and Environmental Policies and Environmental Protection	24
Employees	24
RISK FACTORS	24
KSL Project	24
Liquidity, Cash Flow and Capital Availability Risks	25
Commodity Price and Supply Risks	25
Usage Risks	26

Potential for Termination of Large Industrial Contracts.....	26
Regulatory Risks.....	27
Facility and Insurance Risks.....	27
Environmental and Safety Risks.....	28
Derivatives Risk.....	28
Human Resources Risks.....	28
Aboriginal Matters.....	29
Share Price Volatility.....	29
DIVIDENDS.....	29
DESCRIPTION OF CAPITAL STRUCTURE.....	29
Common Shares.....	30
Cumulative Redeemable Junior Preferred Shares.....	30
6 ¾ Percent Cumulative Redeemable Preferred Shares.....	30
Ratings.....	30
MARKET FOR SECURITIES.....	31
LEGAL PROCEEDINGS.....	32
DIRECTORS AND OFFICERS.....	32
Directors.....	32
Officers.....	33
Audit Committee.....	34
INTERESTS OF MANAGEMENT AND OTHERS IN MATERIAL TRANSACTIONS.....	35
TRANSFER AGENT.....	35
EXPERTS.....	35
ADDITIONAL INFORMATION.....	35
SCHEDULE “A”.....	36

GLOSSARY

In this annual information form, *gigajoule* (GJ) is equivalent to 947,817 British thermal units, *terajoule* (TJ) means one thousand GJ and *petajoule* (PJ) means one million GJ. One GJ is equivalent to approximately 0.9225 Mcf of gas. *Mcf* means one thousand cubic feet and *MMcf* means one million cubic feet. 10^3m^3 means one thousand cubic metres. In volumetric units, 1000 cubic metres is equivalent to 35.30 thousand cubic feet.

SPECIAL NOTE REGARDING FORWARD LOOKING STATEMENTS

Certain information provided and incorporated by reference in this annual information form may contain forward-looking statements that are subject to risks and uncertainties. Forward-looking statements, which involve assumptions and describe the Company's future plans, strategies and expectations, are generally identifiable by the words "may", "will", "should", "expect", "anticipate", "estimate", "believe", "intend" or "project" or the negative of these words or other variations of these words or comparable terminology.

Although management believes any such forward-looking statements are based on reasonable assumptions, no assurance can be given that every objective will be reached. Forward-looking statements may involve known and unknown risks, uncertainties and other factors which may cause the actual results, performance or achievements of the Company to be materially different from any future results, performance or achievements expressed or implied by such forward-looking statements. Such factors include, but are not limited to: general economic conditions and markets; gas supply availability; gas commodity price volatility; competition; decisions by regulators; seasonal weather patterns; financing of investments as well as the value of such investments; the cost and availability of capital; and the ability of the Company to attract and retain quality employees. For further discussion see the section entitled "Risk Factors".

Any forward-looking statement speaks only as of the date on which it is made and the Company undertakes no obligation to update any forward-looking statement to reflect events or circumstances after the date on which the statement is made or to reflect the occurrence of unanticipated events. New factors emerge from time to time and it is not possible for the Company to predict which will arise after the date of this annual information form.

THE COMPANY

Corporate Structure

Pacific Northern Gas Ltd. (the "Company") was incorporated under the laws of British Columbia as a private company on October 28, 1965 and was converted to a public company on November 4, 1968. The head office of the Company is located at Suite 950, 1185 West Georgia Street, Vancouver, British Columbia, V6E 4E6 and its principal operating office is at 2900 Kerr Street, Terrace, British Columbia.

Pacific Northern Gas (N.E.) Ltd. (“Pacific Northern (N.E.)”) was formed under the laws of British Columbia on June 30, 1999 by the amalgamation of Pacific Northern Gas (N.E.) Ltd., Centra Gas Fort St. John Inc. and Peace River Transmission Company Limited. Pacific Northern (N.E.) is a wholly owned subsidiary of the Company with its main operating offices at 1208 – 102nd Avenue, Dawson Creek and 10016 – 104 Street, Fort St. John, British Columbia. PNG Marketing Ltd. (currently inactive) was incorporated under the laws of British Columbia on October 17, 1986 and is a wholly owned subsidiary of the Company. Pacific Northern Gas Transition Ltd. (currently inactive) was incorporated under the laws of British Columbia on January 28, 2004 and is a wholly owned subsidiary of the Company.

General Development of the Business

The Company owns and operates a natural gas transmission and distribution utility operating within the west-central portion of northern British Columbia (“Western system”). Pacific Northern (N.E.) owns and operates a distribution utility in the northeast part of British Columbia (“Northeast system”). The utilities are separate stand-alone systems and both are regulated by the British Columbia Utilities Commission (“Commission”).

The Company entered into a ten year transportation service agreement with West Fraser Mills Ltd., one of its large industrial customers, effective January 1, 2004. The agreement was approved by the Commission in July, 2004.

On April 12, 2005, all of the common shares of the Company owned by Tricor Acquisition (STP) Inc., a subsidiary of Tricor Pacific Capital, Inc. (“Tricor”), representing 37 percent of the outstanding common shares of the Company, were sold through a secondary public offering at a price of \$19.40 per common share, for total gross proceeds of approximately \$26 million. In early 2005, the Company obtained a new credit facility which included a \$20 million operating line and a \$15 million hedge facility, with a new lender on more favourable terms than under its previous facilities.

On August 30, 2005, one of the Company’s large industrial customers, Methanex Corporation (“Methanex”) gave notice of termination of its transportation agreement with the Company. Under the terms of the agreement, Methanex made a termination payment to the Company of approximately \$23.3 million on February 28, 2006, the effective date of the termination. Under the terms of a negotiated settlement with registered intervenors and approved by the Commission on November 17, 2005, the termination payment was recorded as an interest bearing credit deferral, to be amortized into income over the period March 1, 2006 to October 31, 2009, the original date of expiry of the transportation agreement.

In 2005 the Company commenced preliminary study and investigation of a project to loop its mainline transmission system from Kitimat to Summit Lake (the “KSL Project”). The KSL Project is being proposed to provide gas transportation services for up to 1.0 billion cubic feet per day from the proposed Kitimat LNG Inc. liquefied natural gas (“LNG”) receiving and regasification terminal (the “Terminal”) to be located on the Douglas Channel approximately 15 kilometers southwest of Kitimat. The gas will be transported to the Company’s existing interconnection with the system owned by Westcoast Energy Inc., a Spectra Energy company

("Spectra Energy", formerly Duke Energy). The KSL Project entails the construction of approximately 470 kilometers of a 30 or a 36 inch diameter pipeline and associated compression facilities, at an estimated cost of \$900 million or \$1.2 billion, respectively. The route for the KSL Project will be determined through technical field studies and consultation with First Nations, the public and regulatory authorities. The Company's existing right-of-way will be used where appropriate. Kitimat LNG Inc., a private company based in Calgary, Alberta received a Project Approval Certificate from the British Columbia Environmental Assessment Office, and is awaiting approval from the Canadian Environmental Assessment Agency for the Terminal.

On July 17, 2006, the Company formed Pacific Trail Pipelines Limited Partnership ("PTP") as a 50/50 partnership between the Company and Galveston LNG Inc., the parent company of Kitimat LNG Inc. for the purpose of developing the KSL Project. In addition, PTP and Kitimat LNG executed a Precedent Agreement to coordinate the process of obtaining authorizations for the KSL Project with the development of the Terminal. The agreement outlines, among other things, the key economic arrangements between PTP and Kitimat LNG, as well as the targeted timeline and key milestones for construction of the KSL Project and the Terminal. Upon completion of the KSL Project, and subject to regulatory and shareholder approvals, the Company's existing mainline transmission system will be transferred to PTP and integrated with the KSL Project facilities. The Company will continue to own and operate its existing gas distribution systems, including its Customer Care Centre in Terrace. If the required approvals and LNG supply are obtained, PTP expects to commence construction of the KSL Project facilities by the fourth quarter of 2008.

On October 18, 2005 the Company engaged two international banks as co-arrangers for the project financing of the proposed KSL Project. These banks were also concurrently engaged by Kitimat LNG Inc. as co-arrangers for the project financing of the proposed Terminal.

The Company incurred costs associated with the KSL Project of \$0.9 million in 2005 which were included, net of income taxes, in a deferral account with the approval of the Commission. However, with the formation of PTP these costs were transferred to and expensed by PTP. The Company expended a further \$1.9 million in 2006 associated with the KSL Project. The Company is currently expensing all KSL Project development expenditures until suitable commercial arrangements for firm gas transportation services by PTP are in place. Management expects that the Company's share of development expenditures on the KSL Project in 2007 will be \$2.3 million. The Company expects in 2007 to complete the work required in order to obtain all of the necessary British Columbia Environmental Assessment Office environmental approvals for the KSL Project. Once commercial certainty of the project is attained, further regulatory approvals will be sought in 2008.

The KSL Project is subject to a number of conditions including the securing of LNG supply by Kitimat LNG Inc., financing of both projects and regulatory approvals for the KSL Project. The Company can give no assurances that the construction of the terminal by Kitimat LNG Inc. or the construction of the KSL Project by PTP will proceed.

On December 17, 2004, the Company filed an application with the Commission seeking the approvals required pursuant to the Utilities Commission Act to transfer the ownership of the

Company from the current common shareholders to an income trust called the “PNG Income Trust”. By decision dated September 9, 2005 and modified on October 28, 2005, the Commission granted conditional approval of the Company’s December 2004 application to recapitalize under an income trust ownership structure.

In connection with the Company’s announcement on July 17, 2006 that it has entered into a partnership arrangement with Galveston LNG Inc. to jointly develop the KSL Project, the Company indicated that conversion to an income trust would not be pursued while the KSL Project continues under development. In addition, on October 31, 2006, the federal government announced a proposal to disallow as a tax deduction the distributions made by most income trusts. If this legislation is enacted as currently proposed the Company will not pursue its conversion to an income trust.

The Company’s strategic focus in 2007 will be to continue to pursue development of the KSL Project in order to enhance shareholder value.

Trends and Competition

As a regulated utility, the Company does not face competition from other natural gas transmission and distribution companies. The Company does, however, compete with other energy sources in meeting the needs of energy consumers in its service areas. The main sources of competition are from electricity and wood in the residential sector, electricity in the commercial sector and wood waste in the industrial forestry sector (see “Risk Factors – Usage Risks”).

The Company continues to monitor the competitiveness of its natural gas retail rates relative to alternative heating sources in its service area. Substantial increases in gas supply commodity prices over the last few years, combined with increases in the Company’s delivery margins for residential, commercial and small industrial customers in the Western system service area, have led to retail gas rates which are similar to, or higher in the case of residential customers, than comparable electricity rates.

Prior to 2006, high natural gas prices and weak economic conditions faced by the Company’s customer base in the Western system service area had reduced the quantity of gas sold and delivered to the Company’s customers in that area. Currently, natural gas prices are more competitive with other heating sources compared to recent years and the economy in the Western system service area is beginning to show improvement, as discussed below. The economy in the Northeast system service area is growing as a result of activity in the oil and gas exploration sector, which is reflected in customer additions in that area.

The Company has experienced reductions in average gas consumption per residential and small commercial customer. In 2006, the average use per customer was approximately 72 percent and 83 percent, for residential and small commercial customers respectively, of the use per customer in the late 1990s. The Company believes that only a small portion of the reduction in average gas consumption has resulted from substitution of other fuels for natural gas, with only a limited amount of load having switched to electricity at this time. The main reason for the reduction in average gas consumption is considered to be the result of conservation measures undertaken by

consumers in response to higher natural gas prices and poor economic conditions experienced over this period in the Western system service area together with the use of higher efficiency gas appliances. Declining gas use per customer is a general trend being experienced throughout the natural gas distribution industry in Canada. Gas consumption by small industrial customers in the Western system service area has also declined through conservation and substitution of wood waste for natural gas.

In addition to the effect of conservation and substitution of energy sources, the Company has experienced a net loss in the number of customers in the Western system which has been offset by the addition of customers to the Northeast system with the result that customer growth for 2004 to 2006 has been flat relative to prior years. The poor economic conditions in the Western system service area are believed to be responsible for the majority of the decline in the number of residential customers. Certain small industrial customers also closed down, contributing to the reduced economic activity in the Western system service area and the decline in gas consumption in the small industrial sector.

These recent trends are not necessarily indicative of future trends. Management of the Company expects there to be a limit to the conservation measures that can be undertaken by residential, commercial and industrial customers. Further, there are a number of initiatives and prospects in the Western system service area that could have a positive impact on the economic conditions in this service area, including:


- construction of a container handling facility at the Port of Prince Rupert;
- construction of proposed condensate pipelines from Alberta to tidewater at Kitimat; and
- separate proposals for liquefied natural gas (“LNG”) import and regasification facilities at Kitimat and Prince Rupert.

In the Company’s Northeast system service area there has been continued growth in the oil and gas sector, which has expanded the Company’s customer base in that area.

BUSINESS OF THE COMPANY

Overview

The Company’s Western system extends from Summit Lake to Prince Rupert and Kitimat. The Northeast system includes Tumbler Ridge, Dawson Creek, Fort St. John and the surrounding areas. The Company also operates a small propane vapour distribution system in Granisle, British Columbia.



The Company delivers gas to approximately 39,511 residential, commercial and industrial customers. The Company had three large industrial customers in 2006: West Fraser Mills Ltd. (“West Fraser”), Alcan Smelters and Chemicals Ltd. (“Alcan”) and British Columbia Hydro and Power Authority (“BC Hydro”). These three customers accounted for 24 percent of total gas deliveries and 13 percent of the Company’s operating margin during 2006.

The Company’s combined rate base for 2006, as approved by the Commission, was approximately \$162 million.

Gas distributed by the Company to its residential and commercial customers and to certain industrial customers in 2006 was purchased under various agreements with gas producers and other suppliers. Most of the Company’s industrial customers satisfy their gas requirements by purchasing gas directly from producers or other suppliers and contracting with the Company for the transportation of that gas through the Company’s pipeline systems. Since the Company earns income from the transportation and distribution of gas and not from the sale of gas as a commodity, it is not adversely affected by direct gas supply arrangements entered into by its customers.

The Company’s natural gas distribution business is seasonal, with higher sales in the colder winter months because a substantial portion of the gas it delivers is used for space heating purposes, resulting in lower sales in warmer months. As a result, the Company earns the majority of its net income in the first and fourth quarters of its fiscal year and generally incurs losses in the other two quarters.

Western System

Transmission Pipeline System

The Company's transmission pipeline system in the Western system service area connects with the British Columbia pipeline system operated by Spectra Energy near Summit Lake, British Columbia, and extends 587 kilometers to the west coast of British Columbia at Prince Rupert. The pipeline between Summit Lake and Terrace has been partially paralleled, or looped, with a second line to increase throughput capacity. The Company also owns and operates over 300 kilometers of lateral transmission pipelines extending into the various communities served by the Company, the most significant being dual lines extending approximately 57 kilometers into Kitimat.

Five compressor units maintain pressure on the Company's transmission pipeline system: two located at Summit Lake and one each at Vanderhoof, Burns Lake and Telkwa. The total installed rating of the compressor units is 16 120 kilowatts (21,610 horsepower). The sustainable capacity of the transmission pipeline system, with the present compressor and looping configuration, is approximately $3\ 260\ 10^3\text{m}^3$ (115 MMcf) per day. With the closure of the Methanex methanol/ammonia facility in Kitimat the Company has deactivated its compressor stations at Vanderhoof and Telkwa, as well as 53 miles of 10 inch pipeline and 33 miles of 6 inch pipeline. These facilities will continue to be maintained for potential future use, but are not forecast to be utilized in 2007.

Distribution System and Franchise Agreements

The Company owns and operates natural gas distribution facilities to deliver gas from its pipeline system to homes and businesses in the various communities located throughout the Western system service area. The Company's Western system's distribution system is comprised of approximately 1,180 kilometers of distribution pipelines.

The Company currently has exclusive franchise agreements with the municipalities of Prince Rupert, Port Edward, Kitimat, Terrace, Smithers, Burns Lake, Houston and Vanderhoof, entitling it to supply and distribute natural gas within those municipalities. The customers in these municipalities represent approximately 79 percent of all customers in the Western system service area. Each of the franchise agreements has an initial term of 21 years, expiring in 2011 (except in the case of Port Edward, where the agreement expires in 2010, and Prince Rupert, where the agreement expires in 2015), and is renewable at the option of either party for a further term of 21 years.

The Company also has operating agreements with the municipalities of Fort St. James and Telkwa that entitle it to install and operate gas distribution facilities in those municipalities. The initial term of each of these operating agreements has expired, and the Company is operating within ten year renewal terms which expire in 2009 and 2011, respectively. Each operating agreement provides for an unlimited number of ten year renewal terms, which take effect automatically on the expiry of the preceding renewal term. If the parties cannot agree on alterations to an operating agreement for a renewal term, the Commission may determine such

alterations. In the Village of Fraser Lake, the Company is operating under a franchise agreement which the Company is proceeding to file for approval with the Commission.

Under all of the municipal franchise and operating agreements, the Company is required to pay the municipality an annual fee on gas sales within the municipality equal to 3 percent of the Company's gross revenue from sales to residential and commercial customers and 3 percent of the gross revenue from the sale of the first 328 000 GJ (approximately 300 MMcf) per year to any industrial customer.

The communities served by the Company's distribution system within its Western system service area had a total population of approximately 75,000 at December 31, 2006.

Northeast System

Transmission and Distribution Facilities

The Company's Northeast system serves the Fort St. John and Dawson Creek area through connections with the Spectra Energy pipeline system at several locations. The Northeast system also connects with a pipeline owned by Canadian Natural Resources Limited ("CNRL") in two locations to obtain supply for the Fort St. John area, and with a producer's pipeline to serve the Dawson Creek area and the Spectra Energy pipeline system near Chetwynd, British Columbia to serve the Tumbler Ridge area. The Company's entire Northeast system consists of approximately 160 kilometers of transmission lines, 1,490 kilometers of distribution lines and a gas processing plant near Tumbler Ridge with a capacity of 120 10³m³ per day.

Franchise Agreements

The Company has exclusive franchise agreements with the District of Taylor and the cities of Dawson Creek and Fort St. John for 21-year terms, expiring in 2012, 2014 and 2018, respectively, as well as an operating agreement with the Village of Pouce Coupe which expires in 2016. The Dawson Creek and Fort St. John agreements provide for payment of a 3 percent fee on gross revenues from sales of gas to residential, commercial, public and institutional customers and 3 percent of the gross revenue from the sale of the first 328 000 GJ per year to any industrial customer. The Company operates its gas distribution facilities in the Tumbler Ridge area pursuant to a certificate of public convenience and necessity issued by the Commission. No franchise fees are payable to the municipality of Tumbler Ridge.

The franchise agreement with the City of Dawson Creek and the operating agreement with the Village of Pouce Coupe are renewable for a further term of 21 years at the option of either party. The franchise agreements with the District of Taylor and City of Fort St. John give the municipalities the right to purchase the distribution system within the municipality on expiry of the franchise agreement, at the fair market value of the assets as a going concern.

The communities served by the Northeast system had a total population of approximately 50,000 at December 31, 2006.

Properties

Substantially all of the Company's transmission lines and lateral lines are located across Crown land or privately owned property under rights-of-way granted by the Crown or the owners in perpetuity or for so long as they are used for pipeline purposes. Approximately three kilometers of main pipelines and approximately nine kilometers of lateral transmission pipelines cross reserves established under the *Indian Act* (Canada), for which the Company has appropriate land rights. Within all the municipalities in which the Company distributes gas, distribution lines occupy municipal streets and lanes by authority granted to the Company under franchise or operating agreements or other regulatory approvals. River, highway and railway crossings have generally been constructed pursuant to orders or permits from appropriate authorities or owners. Compressor and metering stations are principally located on land owned by the Company in fee simple. The Company owns its local offices in Terrace, Prince Rupert, Kitimat, Burns Lake, Smithers, Dawson Creek, Tumbler Ridge and Fort St. John and leases office space in a number of other communities in its service area and in Vancouver.

All of the property and assets of the Company and Pacific Northern (N.E.) are subject to the lien of a deed of trust and mortgage dated as of April 15, 1982 between the Company and Computershare Trust Company of Canada, as trustee, as amended and supplemented from time to time, under which the Company's secured debentures have been issued.

Gas Sales and Transportation

Total natural gas deliveries were 12.4 PJ in 2006 compared with 32.8 PJ in 2005. Transportation deliveries to large industrial customers decreased 87 percent from 2005 to 2006 resulting primarily from Methanex permanently shutting down its methanol/ammonia complex in Kitimat in November 2005. Deliveries to large industrial customers other than Methanex increased by 2 percent in 2006 compared to 2005.

Deliveries to residential customers decreased in 2006 by approximately 2.2 percent from 2005 levels notwithstanding that the weather was approximately 4 percent colder in 2006 compared to 2005. Deliveries to commercial customers increased in 2006 by approximately 5.2 percent from 2005 levels. Commercial transportation service deliveries increased significantly from 2005 to 2006 primarily due to several commercial sales customers converting to transportation service during 2006. Given these were conversions by existing sales customers, the increase in commercial transportation service deliveries resulted in a decrease in total operating revenues, however operating margin was relatively unaffected by the conversions. Gas deliveries to the commercial transportation service sector are forecast to increase in 2007 as many of the conversions occurred in the last two months of 2006. Fewer conversions are expected in 2007 compared to 2006 as the gas marketers carried out their main marketing campaigns in the Company's service areas in 2006.

The following table sets out, by customer category, certain information relating to the Company's gas sales and deliveries for the past three years:

	<u>2006</u>	<u>2005</u>	<u>2004</u>
Deliveries (in terajoules):			
Residential	3 066	3 135	3 279
Commercial	2 863	2 720	2 715
Small industrial.....	3 484	3 576	3 736
Large industrial.....	2 982	23 413	29 241
Total energy delivered	<u>12 395</u>	<u>32 844</u>	<u>38 971</u>
Customers at Year End:			
Residential	34,302	34,189	34,242
Commercial	5,156	5,047	4,993
Small industrial.....	50	55	52
Large industrial.....	3	4	4
Total number of customers	<u>39,511</u>	<u>39,295</u>	<u>39,291</u>
Revenue (Dollars in thousands):			
Sales Revenue			
Residential	\$44,919	\$42,354	\$37,627
Commercial	34,241	31,546	26,488
Small industrial.....	7,152	5,599	5,746
Large industrial			
Alcan	5,087	5,370	4,997
BC Hydro.....	—	—	35
Off system sales	32,713	56,159	43,949
Total sales revenue.....	<u>124,112</u>	<u>141,028</u>	<u>118,842</u>
Cost of sales.....	(91,118)	(111,287)	(88,954)
Operating margin from sales	<u>32,994</u>	<u>29,741</u>	<u>29,888</u>
Transportation Revenue			
Residential.....	—	—	—
Commercial.....	630	217	197
Small industrial	3,308	3,188	2,839
Large industrial			
Methanex	1,822	12,182	12,191
West Fraser.....	2,017	2,016	2,435
Alcan	842	735	672
BC Hydro	86	73	68
New Skeena Forest Products Inc.	—	—	—
Off system sales	—	—	—
Total transportation revenue / operating margin from transportation.....	<u>8,705</u>	<u>18,411</u>	<u>18,402</u>
Other Revenue			
Methanex termination payment	5,552	—	—
Miscellaneous revenue	479	511	511
Total Other Revenue	<u>6,031</u>	<u>511</u>	<u>511</u>
Total operating margin	<u>\$47,730</u>	<u>\$48,663</u>	<u>\$48,801</u>

Note:

References to “operating margin” are to operating revenues less cost of sales. Operating margin is not a recognized measure under GAAP and does not have a standardized meaning prescribed by GAAP. Therefore, operating margin may not be comparable to similar measures presented by other issuers. Readers are cautioned that operating margin should not replace revenues as a measure of activity.

Large Industrial Customers

Overview

The Company currently has transportation service agreements with West Fraser and Alcan. The Company delivers gas to its other large industrial customer, BC Hydro, under an interruptible sales and service agreement for standby power generation at BC Hydro's facility in Prince Rupert. The Company had a transportation service agreement with Methanex covering the period November 1, 2002 through October 31, 2009; however Methanex served notice to terminate its agreement with the Company effective February 28, 2006, as discussed below.

Methanex

Deliveries to Methanex in 2005 accounted for approximately 62 percent of volumes delivered by the Company and approximately 7.5 percent of the Company's operating revenues. Transportation service to Methanex in 2005 was provided pursuant to an agreement that was to expire on October 31, 2009, however on August 30, 2005, Methanex gave notice of its termination of the agreement, in accordance with the terms of the agreement. Under the terms of the agreement, Methanex made a termination payment to the Company of approximately \$23.3 million on February 28, 2006, the effective date of the termination. This termination payment is approximately equal to the net present value of future firm contract payment obligations, net of the Company's avoidable costs if Methanex were no longer operating. Under the terms of a negotiated settlement with registered intervenors and approved by the Commission on November 17, 2005, the termination payment is being recorded as an interest bearing credit deferral, and is being amortized into income over the period March 1, 2006 to October 31, 2009.

Margin recovery in 2006 from Methanex was \$10.4 million lower than in 2005 due to the termination of the agreement with Methanex. This reduction was offset by credit amortization of \$5.6 million of the contract termination payment in 2006 resulting in a net margin reduction of \$4.8 million. The Company received approval in the Commission's decision on the Company's 2006 revenue requirements application to recover a projected revenue deficiency of \$4 million from its remaining customers, as \$0.8 million of the Methanex margin reduction was mitigated through other cost decreases. The net margin reduction in 2007, due to the Methanex contract termination, is expected to be approximately \$0.6 million. This reflects the impact of the recovery in 2007 from other customers of the 2006 net margin reduction of \$4.8 million and credit amortization of \$6.8 million of the contract termination payment. The Company sought Commission approval in its 2007 revenue requirements application to recover a projected revenue deficiency of \$0.6 million in its rates which includes the impact of the 2007 Methanex net margin reduction. Assuming Commission approval of the 2007 revenue requirements application, there would be no Methanex net margin reduction in 2008. It may be necessary to seek further delivery charge increases commencing in 2010 after the contract termination payment has been fully amortized in rates.

West Fraser

Under the terms of its agreement with West Fraser, the Company provides firm gas transportation service to West Fraser's linerboard and kraft paper mill in Kitimat, British Columbia. The agreement specifies a daily contract demand volume of 170.0 10³m³ (6.8 MMcf). A unit demand toll of \$0.85 per GJ applies for the first five years of the term of the agreement, ending on December 31, 2008. For the second five years of the term, the toll is \$0.85 per GJ adjusted by 50 percent of the change in the British Columbia Consumer Price Index over the five year period ending December 31, 2008. West Fraser pays a minimum monthly demand charge equal to the unit demand toll times the contract demand times the number of days in the month regardless of the level of actual deliveries. The contract also provides for interruptible transportation of volumes in excess of the contract demand at a toll of 1.15 times the firm toll. In addition, West Fraser supplies a portion of the Company's internal gas requirements equal to 4 percent of deliveries to West Fraser (reduced to 2 percent effective January 2007). The agreement was approved by the Commission in July, 2004 with effect as of January 1, 2004 and resulted in a toll approximately 30 percent lower than that previously in effect. During 2006, deliveries to West Fraser accounted for approximately 16 percent of the Company's total gas deliveries and 1.5 percent of operating revenues.

The agreement with West Fraser expires in December 2013, but West Fraser may terminate its obligations under the agreement with six months' notice and payment of a buy-out payment to the Company on the effective date of the termination. The buy-out payment was set at \$10,500,000 as of January 1, 2005 and reduces monthly to \$1,775,000 as of January 1, 2013. Moreover, if West Fraser has permanently shut down the Kitimat mill or has commenced the process of permanently shutting down the Kitimat mill prior to January 1, 2009 then West Fraser may terminate the agreement with no buy-out payment, effective January 1, 2009 by giving the Company at least six months' notice of termination prior to January 1, 2009. West Fraser's obligation to pay the minimum monthly charge will continue in effect until the Kitimat mill is permanently shut down. If the permanent shutdown is not completed by December 31, 2009, then the agreement with the Company will continue in force until the original expiry date of December 31, 2013. See "Risk Factors".

Alcan

Under the terms of its agreement with Alcan, the Company provides firm gas transportation service to Alcan's Kitimat aluminium facility, with a toll established by the Commission from time to time. The agreement specifies a daily contract demand volume of 30.8 10³m³ (1.1 MMcf) and a minimum monthly charge equal to 85 percent of the monthly contract demand volume multiplied by the firm transportation toll. The agreement also provides for interruptible sales of gas to Alcan. The initial term of the agreement ended November 1, 2004, but the agreement automatically continues in effect from contract year to contract year unless either party gives twelve months' notice of termination. No termination notice has been given.

During 2006, deliveries to Alcan accounted for 7.9 percent of the Company's total gas deliveries and 4.3 percent of operating revenues. Alcan has produced aluminium for export from its Kitimat facility since 1952.

In August 2006, Alcan announced its intention to modernize the Kitimat facility through an approximate US\$1.8 billion investment, which would increase Alcan's annual global primary

aluminium production by more than 4 percent. Management expects that, if the project is completed, Alcan's natural gas requirements would increase when the new capacity comes on-stream. The implementation of the modernization project is subject to the final approval of Alcan's Board of Directors and to certain conditions including environmental permits and the successful conclusion of agreements with BC Hydro and the CAW union. The Company can give no assurances that the modernization project will be completed.

BC Hydro

The Company delivers gas to its other large industrial customer, BC Hydro, under an interruptible sales and service agreement for electric power generation at BC Hydro's facility in Prince Rupert. This generation plant has typically been used by BC Hydro as a stand-by facility. Deliveries of 28.3 TJ in 2006 were utilized to maintain the facility in a ready to operate mode. These deliveries represented approximately 0.2 percent of operating margin.

New Skeena Forest Products Inc.

Sun Wave Forest Products ("Sun Wave"), a subsidiary of the China Paper Group, purchased certain pulp mill equipment from New Skeena Forest Products Inc. ("New Skeena") in 2005 with the intention of relocating the equipment to China. In mid 2006 Sun Wave purchased the land where the pulp mill equipment was located near Prince Rupert from New Skeena with the apparent expectation of reopening the pulp mill. When this facility was operating it was one of the Company's three largest industrial customers. The Company can give no assurances that Sun Wave will reopen the pulp mill.

Natural Gas Supply

All of the Company's residential customers, most of its commercial customers and a number of its smaller industrial customers continue to rely on the Company for arrangement of their gas supply, and pay tariffs which include the Company's gas supply commodity and delivery costs. The commodity cost of gas is passed through to customers in rates and subsequent rate adjustments, after approval by the Commission.

The Company's large industrial customers, the majority of its small industrial customers and a few large commercial customers arrange for delivery of their gas supply requirements to the Company. These customers contract for gas transportation service on the Company's pipeline systems. In addition, some of the Company's smaller commercial customers are beginning to purchase their gas supply requirements directly from gas marketers. Since the Company earns income from the distribution of natural gas and not from the sale of the commodity, the Company's distribution margin is not adversely affected by this practise. Some of these customers also purchase gas from the Company to supplement their gas supply as may be required from time to time and subject to gas supply availability from the Company.

To meet the requirements of its core market customers, natural gas is purchased by the Company under various gas purchase contracts. Contracted gas that is surplus to the requirements of these customers may be sold either on an interruptible basis to industrial customers or be sold to other purchasers who are not the Company's transmission or distribution customers ("off system

sales”). Any profit or loss realized on off system gas sales is deferred for future recovery from, or refund to, the Company’s sales customers.

Natural gas is purchased at prevailing market prices and passed through to customers without mark-up by the Company. The Commission reviews the gas commodity portion of the Company’s rates on a quarterly basis to ensure close alignment with the prevailing market prices for natural gas. Any variances in gas commodity prices paid by the Company from those included in current retail rates are deferred for subsequent refund to or recovery from customers. To moderate the variability of the gas supply commodity prices paid, the Company uses financial instruments and fixed price supply contracts under a gas price management plan that is filed with the Commission on an annual basis.

Most of the gas purchased by the Company from its gas suppliers is taken from the pooled gas stream available from the Spectra Energy pipeline system. This includes all of the supply to the Company’s transmission line serving its Western system service area and approximately 73 percent of the supply for the Fort St. John and Dawson Creek service areas.

In addition to the supply from the Spectra Energy system, the Fort St. John system incorporates two interconnections with CNRL’s West Stoddart Pipeline, providing 36 percent of that system’s requirements. In Dawson Creek, approximately 10 percent of the required supply is received from a local producer of sweet (pipeline quality) gas at a point where its system intersects the Company’s transmission line. In Tumbler Ridge, all of the gas supply is obtained in the form of raw gas production from CNRL and the Company operates its own gas processing facilities.

A long-term contract that expired on October 31, 2005 with CanWest Gas Supply Inc. accounted for about 52 percent of 2005 purchases. Other supplies included purchases under seasonal and spot gas supply arrangements. In 2006, the CanWest gas supply was replaced with gas purchases under a number of short term seasonal, base load, and spot gas supply arrangements. The Company continues to purchase its gas supply under short term contracts, which is in keeping with current industry practices.

Customer Additions

In 2006, 367 new customers were connected to the Company’s distribution systems, compared with 420 in 2005. Most of the service additions resulted from continued strong economic activity in the Northeast system service area. The Company experienced a net increase of only 216 customers as a result of 151 customers leaving the distribution system, primarily in the Company’s Western system service area which continues to experience generally poor economic conditions.

In the Western system service area, there are few remaining candidates for conversion to natural gas in existing homes and businesses and limited opportunity to extend gas mains into unserved rural areas. Also, with the exception of the Northeast system, local economies have been depressed as a result of uncertainty concerning future industrial activity levels. This lower level of customer additions is expected to prevail in the foreseeable future in the Western system service area.

Government Regulation

General

The Company is subject to regulation under the *Utilities Commission Act* (British Columbia) (the “Utilities Act”). Pursuant to the Utilities Act, the Commission regulates the business of public utilities, including the construction and operation of major facilities, the issuance of securities, determination of rates for the sale and transportation of gas, and the terms and conditions of service. In approving rates, the Commission must determine that such rates reflect a fair and reasonable charge for service of the nature and quality furnished by the Company to its customers, and that such rates are sufficient to yield the Company a fair and reasonable compensation for its services, including a fair and reasonable return upon the value of its property.

The Company is also subject to regulation under the *Pipeline Act* (British Columbia) in respect of the construction, operation and maintenance of its transmission pipeline facilities.

Rate Regulation

The Company’s rates are determined by the Commission on a fixed rate method, under which rates are set on the basis of annual forecasts of both the cost of service and throughput for the Company’s transmission and distribution system. The cost of service consists of the cost of purchased gas and the cost of transporting all gas delivered through the Company’s system, including operating, maintenance and administrative expenses, depreciation of facilities, income and other taxes and a return on rate base. Rate base is composed of the depreciated book value of plant in service, plus unamortized deferred charges, plus allowance for working capital, less deferred income taxes. The rate base is approved annually by the Commission for rate making purposes, including approval of annual capital additions forecast by the Company. Forecast capital additions are usually approved as applied for by the Company with minor modifications. If the actual cost of forecast capital additions exceeds the amount approved by the Commission, the excess cost may be subject to a prudency review by the Commission depending on the extent actual costs exceed the amount approved by the Commission. The Commission determines the allowable return on rate base after considering a variety of factors, including the degree of risk associated with the Company’s business and the cost of capital.

A key component of the cost of capital is the after-tax return on the common equity component of the capital structure (the “ROE”). The Commission uses a formula to determine, on an annual basis, the ROE applicable to a benchmark low-risk utility based on forecast long term Government of Canada bond rates plus a risk premium. The Company’s risk profile is compared by the Commission to the benchmark low-risk utility to determine the Company’s utility-specific risk premium relative to the ROE applicable to the benchmark low-risk utility. The Company’s utility-specific risk premium is then added to the benchmark low-risk utility risk premium to determine the ROE which the Company is allowed to include in rates each year. The Company’s ROE is therefore subject to variations in forecast long term Canada bond rates. The ROE is set by the Commission’s formula in November each year to be applied in the following calendar year.

The Commission has approved a number of deferral accounts for the Company to record costs and revenues for various items for recovery from customers, or refund to customers, over a future time period. The general purpose of a deferral account is to keep the Company or its customers whole in respect of the subject matter of the deferral account. Two main deferral accounts apply to all divisions of the Company:

- Gas Cost Variance Account (“GCVA”) – The commodity cost of gas included in customer rates is based on a forecast of the gas market prices the Company expects to pay to gas suppliers over a 12 month period. The difference between the forecast gas cost the Company charges its customers and the actual gas cost incurred by the Company is recorded by the Company in the GCVA. The balance in this account is reviewed by the Company and the Commission every quarter. If actual gas costs exceed forecast gas costs, the difference will be recovered from customers by an increase in future rates. If actual gas costs are lower than forecast gas costs, the difference will be refunded to customers through a reduction in future rates. In this way, customers, over time, pay the same cost for gas as is paid by the Company.
- Rate Stabilization Adjustment Mechanism (“RSAM”) - the Company forecasts the revenue it will receive from customers based on an annual forecast of gas deliveries to customers. As it is not possible to forecast deliveries to customers with complete accuracy for a variety of reasons, including the effect of weather on gas consumption, the Company is allowed by the Commission to record the difference between forecast and actual revenue recovery from residential and small commercial customers in the RSAM deferral account. If actual deliveries exceed forecast deliveries, the resulting revenue difference will be recorded in the RSAM deferral account and refunded to customers in future rates. If actual deliveries are less than forecast deliveries, the resulting revenue difference will be recorded in the RSAM deferral account and recovered from customers in future rates.

Revenue requirements applications for three service areas (the Western system service area and two service areas in the Northeast system) are submitted to the Commission, generally on an annual basis. The Northeast system contains two divisions for rate making purposes. One division comprises the Fort St. John/Dawson Creek service area, and the other division the Tumbler Ridge service area. The Commission may consider these applications through a public hearing process (either oral or written), or through negotiations with the customers under alternate dispute resolution processes.

The Commission issued its decisions on the 2006 revenue requirements applications in August, 2006 for both the Western system and Northeast system. With respect to the Western system, the Commission concluded that the level of applied for 2006 costs was appropriate having regard to a number of factors including the Company’s initiatives to reduce its annual cost of service in response to the closure in November 2005 of the Methanex methanol/ammonia facility in Kitimat. The Commission concluded that the Company had taken all prudent steps to manage and reduce its costs and there were no unnecessary or unreasonable costs in the applied for 2006 cost of service. A negotiated settlement agreement was reached in March 2006 in respect of

PNG(N.E.)'s Fort St. John/Dawson Creek and Tumbler Ridge divisions. The Commission confirmed the terms of the negotiated settlement agreement in August 2006.

The 2006 allowed rate of return on common equity for the Western system was 9.45 percent including a 65 basis point premium over the benchmark low-risk utility rate of return, and was based on a deemed common equity component of rate base of 40 percent.

The 2006 allowed rate of return on common equity was 9.20 percent for the Fort St. John/Dawson Creek division and 9.45 percent for the Tumbler Ridge division, based on a deemed common equity component of rate base of 36 percent. The allowed rate of return on common equity includes a 40 basis point premium over the benchmark low-risk utility rate of return (65 basis point premium for the Tumbler Ridge division).

The 2007 allowed rates of return are 9.02 percent for the Western system and the Tumbler Ridge division and 8.77 percent for the Fort St. John/Dawson Creek division. The rates of return for all divisions include the same basis point premiums as in 2006, but are lower due to the decline in the forecast long term Government of Canada bond rates.

Western System

For 2006, the Commission continued its direction to defer the difference between actual operating margin from deliveries to Methanex, West Fraser, Alcan and B.C. Hydro and the forecast operating margin used by the Company in its revenue requirement application in an Industrial Customers Deliveries Deferral Account ("ICDDA"). The ICDDA did not impact 2006 net earnings as actual 2006 deliveries to these customers were approximately the same as forecast deliveries used for determining rates. The Commission also accepted the Company's forecast of gas supply costs for 2006. Rate riders were approved in various amounts for 2006 to refund a credit balance accumulated in the GCVA. In 2006, the reduction in customer revenue from credit rate riders totalled \$2.1 million, and was applied, on an after tax basis, to reduce the GCVA.

In the 2006 revenue requirements application, the Company identified plant, property and equipment assets which would not be required on an ongoing basis to provide service to its customers, having regard to the closure of the Methanex plant in late 2005. The Company received Commission approval to remove from rate base certain compressor facilities, pipeline loops and various other fixed assets with a net book value of \$5.05 million and transfer them to a non-rate base, interest bearing deferral account effective December 31, 2005. The deferral account will be amortized on a straight-line basis over ten years commencing in 2006.

The 2007 revenue requirements application for the Western system was filed with the Commission in October 2006. The application sought approval of an increase in delivery charges of 1.7 percent. The Commission approved interim gas delivery charge increases effective January 1, 2007 at the level set forth in the application. The Commission established a written hearing process in January 2007 with final written argument to be filed by the Company on March 29, 2007. The Company filed an update to the application on February 23, 2007 to reflect the impact of year end 2006 balances and a revised gas requirements forecast for 2007. The update resulted in an applied for delivery charge increase of 1.8 percent compared to the original 1.7 percent figure.

The gas supply commodity charge component of rates did not change as of January 1, 2007 compared to the rates effective October 1, 2006. The Commission reviews the gas supply commodity charges on a quarterly basis. The next potential change to the gas supply charge in rates will be April 1, 2007.

The forecast 2007 residential and commercial deliveries contained in the updated 2007 revenue requirements application for the Western system are approximately 3.3 percent higher than actual gas deliveries to these customer classes in 2006. Deliveries to small industrial customers are projected to be approximately 8.1 percent lower in 2007 compared to actual gas deliveries in 2006. The decrease is primarily due to one sawmill closing in mid 2006 and another sawmill planning to install a new energy system in 2007 that does not use natural gas.

Northeast System

Fort St. John/Dawson Creek Division

The Commission accepted the Company's forecast of gas supply costs for 2006. Rate riders were approved in 2006 on a quarterly basis to recover/refund debit/credit balances in the GCVA. In 2006, customer recoveries/refunds from rate riders totalled a net recovery of \$61,000, which was applied, on an after tax basis, to the GCVA.

The Fort St. John/Dawson Creek 2007 revenue requirements application, filed in October 2006, sought Commission approval to increase the gas delivery charge component of its gas rates. The Commission approved interim delivery charges effective January 1, 2007 at the level set forth in the application which are higher by approximately 0.7 percent for both residential and small commercial customer classes compared to rates in effect as of December 31, 2006. The Company filed an update to the application on February 23, 2007 to reflect the impact of year end 2006 balances and a revised gas requirements forecast for 2007. The applied for revenue deficiency under the update is slightly higher than set forth in the original application.

The rate increase would have been somewhat higher but for the implementation of a depreciation adjustment credit deferral account in 2006. As a result of a detailed analysis of property, plant and equipment cost and retirement records conducted in 2006, various assets were identified as retired or disposed of in prior years with no retirement being recorded. The Company has reversed this accumulated depreciation, and transferred the balance to a depreciation adjustment credit deferral account. The Company has proposed amortizing the depreciation credit in rates over the 2007/2008 two year period.

The forecast 2007 residential and commercial deliveries contained in the updated 2007 revenue requirements application for the Fort St. John/Dawson Creek division are approximately 5.1 percent higher than actual gas deliveries to these customer classes in 2006. Deliveries to small industrial customers are projected to be approximately 0.5 percent higher in 2007 compared to actual gas deliveries in 2006.

The gas supply commodity charge component of rates did not change as of January 1, 2007 compared to the rates effective October 1, 2006. The Commission reviews the gas supply

commodity charges on a quarterly basis. The next potential gas supply charge rate changes will be determined as of April 1, 2007.

Tumbler Ridge Division

The Tumbler Ridge 2007 revenue requirements application was filed with the Commission in October 2006. The application seeks Commission approval to decrease the gas delivery charge component of rates effective January 1, 2007. The requested decreases in the gas delivery charge component are primarily due to higher credit amortization (see above explanation under Fort St. John/Dawson Creek division of depreciation adjustment credit) and associated lower income tax expense. In addition, gas deliveries are forecast to be higher in 2007, primarily due to a natural gas producer adding a new compressor to their gas well production facilities. The Commission approved interim delivery charges effective January 1, 2007 at the level set forth in the application which are approximately 12.4 percent lower for residential and small commercial customers relative to rates in effect on December 31, 2006. The Company filed an update to the application on February 23, 2007 to reflect the impact of year end 2006 balances and a revised gas requirements forecast for 2007. The applied for revenue sufficiency under the update is slightly higher than set forth in the original application.

The gas supply commodity charge component of rates did not change as of January 1, 2007 compared to the rates effective October 1, 2006. However, a commodity credit rate rider of \$0.427/GJ was implemented effective January 1, 2007 to reduce the GCVA.

A written hearing process for the Fort St. John/Dawson Creek and Tumbler Ridge divisions' 2007 revenue requirements applications was established by the Commission in January of 2007 with final written argument to be filed by the Company on March 29, 2007.

Rate Base and Common Equity

The following table summarizes, for each of the Company's three service areas, the rate base, common equity component of rate base and the after tax rate of return on common equity approved by the Commission for the three years ended December 31, 2006:

	Years ended December 31		
	2006	2005	2004
	<i>(Dollar amounts in thousands)</i>		
Western system:			
Rate base.....	\$131,210	\$133,450	\$135,488
Common equity component.....	40.0%	N/A *	36.0%
Allowed return on common equity	9.45%	9.68%	9.80%
Fort St. John/ Dawson Creek division:			
Rate base.....	\$30,095	\$30,663	\$30,521
Common equity component.....	36.0%	36.0%	36.0%
Allowed return on common equity	9.20%	9.43%	9.56%
Tumbler Ridge division:			
Rate base.....	\$1,153	\$1,069	\$1,043
Common equity component.....	36.0%	36.0%	36.0%
Allowed return on common equity	9.45%	9.68%	9.80%

* Under the 2005 Negotiated Settlement for the Western system, the parties agreed the Common equity component would not be specified in the 2005 regulatory schedules.

Engineering and Operations

In-Line Inspection

The Company has processes in place to monitor the ongoing integrity of its transmission pipeline systems to ensure protection of the public and the environment by demonstrating that all transmission pipelines are suitable for continued safe and reliable service. A key aspect of these processes focuses on the internal inspection of the pipeline using in-line inspection tools and subsequent repair activities that arise from the information gathered.

The Company began implementing in-line inspection programs on its transmission pipeline in 1989. The in-line inspection program is predominantly based on running a Magnetic Flux Leakage (“MFL”) tool that is primarily used to detect metal loss due to external and internal corrosion. This tool can also detect certain other potential defects including defects in the pipe wall such as laminations, and certain types of weld defects. After the MFL tool runs are complete, the resulting information is processed and evaluated to determine which areas need rehabilitation. The 2006 in-line inspection activity involved running the MFL tool through a 104 kilometer long section of ten-inch transmission pipeline, representing approximately twenty four percent of the original 440 kilometers of ten-inch line installed in 1969. The result of this inspection was favourable, and has been supported by subsequent investigations confirming the integrity of this section of the line. The Company intends to continue its in-line inspection program on an annual basis in 2007 and into the foreseeable future.

Khyex River Slide

On November 28, 2003, a massive debris slide 55 kilometers east of Prince Rupert ruptured a section of the eight-inch high-pressure transmission pipeline. The permanent repair was

completed in 2006 at a total cost of \$0.9 million. This amount has been included in the Company's rate base.

Social and Environmental Policies and Environmental Protection

In the ordinary course of its operations, the Company is required to comply with applicable federal and provincial environmental laws. The Company makes expenditures on environmental protection in the operations of its gas pipeline and distribution systems and in the construction of new facilities. These expenditures are recovered from customers in the rates and tolls approved by the Commission. The Company does not anticipate requirements to make any material capital expenditures for environmental compliance during 2007. The Company did not incur any specific expenditures on research and development during 2006 and does not expect to do so in 2007.

Employees

At December 31, 2006, the Company had 101 employees, comprised of 75 unionized and 26 non-unionized employees. All unionized employees are members of the International Brotherhood of Electrical Workers (the "Union"). The Company's collective agreement with the Union expires on October 31, 2007.

RISK FACTORS

The Company faces the following strategic, financial and operational risks in carrying out its business:

KSL Project

On July 17, 2006, the Company announced the formation of PTP for the purpose of developing the KSL Project. In addition, PTP and Kitimat LNG executed a Precedent Agreement to coordinate the process of obtaining authorizations for the KSL Project with the development of the Terminal. Upon completion of the KSL Project, and subject to regulatory and shareholder approvals, the Company's existing mainline transmission system will be transferred to PTP and integrated with the KSL Project facilities. The Company will continue to own and operate its existing gas distribution systems, including its Customer Care Centre in Terrace. PTP expects to commence construction of the KSL Project facilities by the fourth quarter 2008.

In addition, construction of the KSL Project is subject to a number of conditions including the securing of LNG supply by Kitimat LNG Inc., financing of both projects and regulatory approvals for the KSL Project. The Company can give no assurances that these conditions will be met or that the construction of the terminal by Kitimat LNG Inc. and the construction of the KSL Project by PTP will proceed. In the event construction of the KSL Project does not proceed, the Company will remain reliant on its existing customer base and assets. The risks associated with the existing customer base and assets are described in the other risk factors in this section, particularly those found under the headings Commodity Price and Supply Risks, Usage Risks and Potential for Termination of Large Industrial Contracts.

As noted in the General Development of the Business section of this form, Management expects that the Company's share of development expenditures on the KSL Project will be approximately \$2.3 million prior to attaining commercial certainty. However, development costs incurred during this period may be higher than expected.

Liquidity, Cash Flow and Capital Availability Risks

The Company has several credit facilities, including a \$20 million operating line and a \$15 million risk management facility. The operating line is subject to borrowing base requirements and financial covenants which may act to restrict the amount the Company can borrow under the operating line. In addition, the credit facilities are also subject to financial covenants including a restricted payments test which, in essence, prevents the Company from borrowing under the operating line to fund capital expenditures, scheduled debt amortization payments, or dividend payments. This restriction on payments may have the effect of reducing or eliminating the dividends that the Company can pay in the absence of access to new long term debt. See "Management's Discussion and Analysis – Capital Resources – Short Term Debt". At December 31, 2006 the Company had an outstanding letter of credit in the amount of \$1.8 million to secure its obligations under its unfunded supplemental retirement plans. This letter of credit reduced the amount available under the operating line by its face value. The credit facilities are collateralized by the pledge of a \$40 million debenture and a charge on certain accounts receivables and inventories.

The Company purchases gas for resale to its gas sales customers and passes through the commodity cost of gas to those customers without mark-up. The rates charged to gas sales customers are based, in part, on projected gas supply prices. The Company's liquidity requirements can be affected by delays between increases or decreases in the cost of gas purchased by the Company and regulatory approval of rate adjustments to reflect the cost increases or decreases.

On February 28, 2006 the Company received a \$23.3 million payment from Methanex in respect of the termination of its contract with the Company (see "General Development of the Business").

While receipt of the termination payment has improved the Company's liquidity, the Company will require access to new long term debt to fund a portion of its capital and KSL Project development expenditures as well as scheduled debt amortization payments. The Company has not had access to long term debt on reasonable terms since its last debt issue in late 2002 due to the perceived level of its business risks. The Company is planning a new debt issue for 2007; however there is no assurance that the new debt issue can be completed on acceptable terms and conditions. Any constraint on the Company's ability to raise capital, including a credit downgrade, may negatively impact its investment and development activities, capital expenditures and hedging program.

Commodity Price and Supply Risks

Over the last three years, the commodity cost of natural gas has been highly volatile. The Company's average cost of natural gas in 2006 was approximately 5 percent lower than in 2005

and 23 percent higher than in 2004. When prices are high, the prospect of fuel-switching and increased energy conservation poses a risk, as other energy sources can become more cost competitive. Fluctuations in the price of natural gas may increase the Company's working capital financing requirements and related costs for accounts receivable, and may give rise to higher bad debt costs.

Adequate supplies of natural gas may not be available to satisfy committed obligations as a result of economic events, natural occurrences or counterparty failure to perform.

Usage Risks

Natural gas competes with other forms of energy available to the Company's customers and end-users, including electricity, wood, coal and fuel oils and, in the case of certain industrial customers, wood waste. The primary competitive factor is price. Changes in the availability or price of natural gas and other forms of energy, the level of business activity, conservation, legislation, governmental regulations, the ability to convert to alternative fuels, weather and other factors affect the demand for natural gas in the areas served by the Company. In addition, because electricity prices in British Columbia for residential and certain other customer classes have been set based primarily on the historical average cost of production, they have remained artificially low compared to market priced natural gas. Over time, this distortion in pricing signals may affect decisions by British Columbia consumers, potentially decreasing the use of natural gas by customers.

Over the past several years the average amount of natural gas consumed by residential customers has declined. This decline was caused primarily by the replacement of older heating equipment by newer, more efficient equipment, more energy-efficient housing, and energy conservation measures in response to higher gas prices and poor economic conditions in the Western system service area. Lower average use per customer is a common trend across the gas distribution utility industry in Canada.

Potential for Termination of Large Industrial Contracts

In 2006, approximately 24 percent of energy deliveries were made to the Company's two largest industrial customers, Alcan and West Fraser, representing approximately 9 percent of the consolidated operating margin of the Company. The Company's contract with West Fraser expires in 2013 and may be terminated prior to that date upon payment of an amount described in the contract. In 2006, deliveries to West Fraser accounted for 1.5 percent of operating revenues. The initial term of the Company's agreement with Alcan ended November 1, 2004, but the agreement automatically continues in effect from contract year to contract year unless either party gives twelve months' notice of termination. No termination notice has been given. During 2006, deliveries to Alcan accounted for 7.9 percent of the Company's total gas deliveries and 4.3 percent of operating revenues. See "Business of the Company – Large Industrial Customers". The Company's ability to negotiate new contracts and to renegotiate existing contracts could be impacted by factors it cannot control, including reduced demand due to higher gas prices, the financial strength of major customers and the availability of alternative energy sources. In addition, the risk of non-performance by one or more of the large industrial customers may be analyzed and managed, but it cannot be entirely eliminated.

The Company's service area is dependent upon industrial customers for its economic stability. These customers produce commodities that are subject to world commodity fluctuations. The Company's gas deliveries to these customers have been and may in the future be affected by their ability to continue to operate during sustained periods of low commodity prices. A prolonged decline in a sector affects all customer classes. For example, in the Western system service area many of the Company's industrial customers are involved in the forest sector. A prolonged decline in the forest sector could negatively impact gas deliveries to a lumber mill, as well as negatively impacting delivery requirements of commercial and residential customers who directly or indirectly provide services to that mill.

Regulatory Risks

The Company's business and assets are subject to regulation by the Commission. Changes in the regulatory environment may be beyond the Company's control and may impact the viability of the business, including the Company's ability to sustain or increase its profitability.

As part of the regulatory process, the Company maintains a number of deferral accounts including the GCVA, the RSAM and accounts for pipeline repair and rehabilitation. See "Business of the Company – Government Regulation".

The GCVA is utilized to record variances in the Company's actual purchase cost of gas relative to the gas supply cost recovery charge included in customers' rates. At times, the gas supply cost recovery charges included in customers' rates can be below the actual purchase cost of gas, resulting in a balance in the account which must be recovered from customers in future rates.

The Company's rates are set on the basis of forecast gas deliveries using normal heating degree-days. To the extent that actual degree days are less than normal (that is, the weather is warmer than normal), revenues may be less than forecast. The revenue for residential and small commercial customers is protected by the RSAM deferral account approved by the Commission in 2003 to record differences between forecast and actual deliveries. When deliveries to customers are less than forecast, there may be significant balances in the account which are subject to recovery in future rates to customers.

The Commission requires the Company to record certain temporary pipeline repair and rehabilitation costs in deferral accounts for amortization into customer rates over a period of ten years on the basis that the customers benefit from such expenditures over that period of time.

The recovery of the Company's accumulated deferral accounts has an impact on the Company's liquidity requirements. Recovery of the deferral accounts through rates charged to customers is dependent upon regulatory approval and the ability to set rates high enough to recover such balances while maintaining the competitiveness of retail gas prices, and is therefore at risk.

Facility and Insurance Risks

The Company carries on business in a geographic area of British Columbia where a large portion of its pipeline transmission system is located in difficult terrain and where outages have been experienced in the past. Depending on circumstances, any such outages in the future may result in loss of revenues or increased maintenance costs.

The Company maintains insurance against exposure to the physical loss of its pipeline, compressors and other above ground facilities, as well as loss of earnings insurance relating to revenues from its large industrial customers. Based on past insurance claims by the Company for damage to its pipeline caused by slides, washouts and other natural events, its deductibles have been increased. Depending on the number and severity of any future outages, the financial impact on the Company could be material.

These identified facility and insurance risks could affect the Company's liquidity and regulatory approval would be required for the Company to recover related costs through future rate adjustments.

Environmental and Safety Risks

The Company is required to comply with existing environmental laws and regulations. It is possible that increasingly strict environmental laws, regulations and enforcement policies, and potential claims for damages and injuries to property, employees, other persons and the environment resulting from current or discontinued operations, could result in substantial costs and liabilities in the future. In particular, the Company could be exposed to significant operational disruptions and environmental liability in the event of an accident involving natural gas. The Company believes that it has taken all reasonable and prudent steps to minimize its exposure in the case of safety or environmental incidents.

The Government of Canada ratified the Kyoto Protocol on December 17, 2002 and the Protocol came into effect on February 16, 2005. No final decisions have been made on the targets, measures and regulations for industry sectors and companies to ensure compliance, and development of the methodology is currently in flux. It is not possible at this time to measure the extent of the impact of the Kyoto Protocol on the Company.

Derivatives Risk

The Company utilizes derivative and other financial instruments in connection with the management of gas supply and may in the future use these instruments for the management of interest rates. The Company enters into forward, future, swap, fixed price and option contracts to manage the impact of market fluctuations on assets, liabilities or other contractual commitments. The Company could, however, incur financial losses in the future as a result of market or price volatility or the failure of a counterparty to a derivative instrument. These risks could affect the Company's liquidity and regulatory approval would be required for the Company to recover related costs through future rate adjustments. Furthermore, because the valuation of these financial instruments can involve estimates, changes in the assumptions underlying these estimates can occur, changing the Company's valuation and potentially resulting in financial losses.

Human Resources Risks

Most of the employees of the Company are members of a union. See "Business of the Company – Engineering and Operations - Employees". Labour disruptions associated with the collective bargaining process can impact upon the Company's ongoing operations. In addition, the

Company is dependent on maintaining its ability to attract and retain employees with the requisite skill and capabilities to operate in the complex and competitive energy industry.

Aboriginal Matters

Aboriginal groups have claimed rights and title over a substantial portion of the lands on which the Company's facilities in British Columbia and the gas supply areas served by those facilities are located. The existence of these claims, which range from the assertion of rights of limited use to aboriginal title, has given rise to some uncertainty regarding access to these lands. As well, approximately three kilometers of the Company's main pipeline and approximately nine kilometers of lateral transmission pipelines cross reserves established under the *Indian Act* (Canada). The impact upon the Company of treaties or settlements with aboriginal groups is uncertain.

Share Price Volatility

A number of factors could influence the volatility in the trading of the Common Shares, including changes in the economy or in the financial markets, industry-related developments, the impact of changes in the Company's operations and regulatory decisions affecting the Company, including decisions relating to return on equity and deemed equity. Each of these factors could lead to increased volatility in the market price of the Common Shares. In addition, variations in earnings estimates by securities analysts and the market prices of the securities of companies in the same industry as the Company may also lead to fluctuations in the trading price of the Common Shares.

DIVIDENDS

The following tables set forth information concerning the payment of dividends by the Company for the last three fiscal years:

	<u>2006</u>	<u>2005</u>	<u>2004</u>
Dividends per share			
- common	\$0.80	\$0.80	\$0.80
- preferred	\$1.69	\$1.69	\$1.69

The Company pays a semi-annual dividend of \$0.84375 per preferred share on January 1 and July 1 of each year.

DESCRIPTION OF CAPITAL STRUCTURE

The Company's authorized share capital consists of 6,020,000 Common Shares with a par value of \$2.50 each, 1,400,000 cumulative redeemable junior preferred shares with a par value of \$10 (the "Junior Preferred Shares") and 200,000 6 ¾ percent cumulative redeemable preferred shares with a par value of \$25 each (the "Preferred Shares"). There are 3,641,180 Common Shares, no Junior Preferred Shares and 200,000 Preferred Shares issued and outstanding at March 15, 2007.

Common Shares

Each Common Share entitles the holder to one vote per share at all meetings of shareholders of the Company, except meetings at which only holders of another specified class of shares are entitled to vote. The Common Shares are the only issued voting securities of the Company and it has no securities outstanding that can be converted into voting or equity securities.

Cumulative Redeemable Junior Preferred Shares

The Junior Preferred Shares were issued in connection with a specific transaction, and to the extent issued have been redeemed and cancelled. No further Junior Preferred Shares will be issued.

6 ¾ Percent Cumulative Redeemable Preferred Shares

The Preferred Shares are entitled to the payment of fixed cumulative preferential cash dividends at the rate of 6 ¾ percent per annum on the amounts from time to time paid up thereon as when declared by the board of directors of the Company, have priority in the event of the liquidation, dissolution or winding up of the Company over the Common Shares, are non-voting and are redeemable at the option of the Company at \$26 per share plus any accrued and unpaid dividends at the date of redemption. The Company may not create shares ranking prior to the Preferred Shares but may create and issue other shares ranking on parity with those shares.

Ratings

The Company's preferred shares are currently rated Pfd-3(low) by Dominion Bond Rating Service ("DBRS") and the Company's secured debentures are rated BBB(low). On September 1, 2005, DBRS placed the ratings of the Company's preferred shares and secured debentures under review with negative implications, following the announcement of the termination of the Methanex agreement.

Long-term debt rated BBB (ranging from BBB(low) to BBB(high)) is of adequate credit quality. Protection of interest and principal is considered acceptable, but the entity is fairly susceptible to adverse changes in financial and economic conditions, or there may be other adverse conditions present which reduce the strength of the entity and its rated securities.

Preferred shares rated Pfd-3 (ranging from Pfd-3(low) to Pfd-3(high)) are of adequate credit quality. While protection of dividends and principal is still considered acceptable, the issuing entity is more susceptible to adverse changes in financial and economic conditions, and there may be other adverse conditions present which detract from debt protection. Pfd-3 ratings generally correspond with companies whose senior bonds are rated in the higher end of the BBB category.

DBRS noted the following key risks in arriving at the ratings on the Company's long term debt and preferred shares:

- High customer concentration, heavy exposure to industrial sector/volatile commodity prices;
- Low deemed equity in capital structure for risk profile;
- Low interest rate environment negatively impacts earnings through approved return on equity;

- Reduced competitiveness of natural gas compared to alternatives; and,
- Taxes payable method has resulted in unrecorded future income tax liability.

A security rating is not a recommendation to buy, sell or hold securities and may be subject to revision or withdrawal at any time by the rating organization.

MARKET FOR SECURITIES

The Common Shares and the 6 3/4 percent Cumulative Redeemable Preferred Shares of the Company are listed on the Toronto Stock Exchange. The following table sets out the price ranges and volume traded for each month of the fiscal year ended December 31, 2006 for the common shares and the 6 3/4 percent preferred shares:

Common shares:	High	Low	Volume
January	\$19.75	\$17.30	129,997
February	18.37	17.35	139,262
March	19.25	18.25	167,093
April	19.25	18.70	92,812
May	19.48	19.11	90,663
June	19.34	18.03	57,395
July	18.80	17.25	150,391
August	18.66	16.96	293,397
September	18.25	17.20	143,181
October	19.74	18.03	133,631
November	19.48	17.56	128,529
December	18.65	18.05	74,683

Preferred shares:	High	Low	Volume
January	\$27.80	\$27.00	1,400
February	28.50	26.00	4,770
March	26.27	26.02	3,670
April	26.50	26.50	200
May	27.00	26.00	2,100
June	26.49	26.00	1,200
July	25.85	25.85	300
August	26.00	26.00	500
September	26.00	26.00	400
October	27.00	25.83	3,800
November	26.60	26.20	1,200
December	27.24	26.25	1,500

LEGAL PROCEEDINGS

There are no legal proceedings material to the Company to which the Company or any of its subsidiaries is a party or of which any of their respective property is the subject matter, and there are no such proceedings known to management of the Company to be contemplated.

DIRECTORS AND OFFICERS

The following table sets out the names of the directors, as well as other pertinent information, including: principal occupation or employment, province and country of residence, all major positions and offices presently held in the Company, the year first elected a director of the Company and the approximate number of shares of the Company beneficially owned, directly or indirectly, or over which control or direction is exercised by such person. The directors serve for a term from the date of election until the next annual meeting or until their respective successors have been elected or appointed. The directors and officers as a group own, directly or indirectly, or exercise control or direction over approximately 3.4 percent of the issued and outstanding common shares of the Company.

Directors

<i>Name, Principal Occupation and Other Information</i>	<i>Beneficial Ownership or Control of Common Shares</i>
<u>Robert F. Chase</u> Chairman of the Board; President and Chief Executive Officer of Lexacal Investment Corp. (an oil and gas services company); resident in British Columbia, Canada; a director of the Company since April 26, 1995 ^{1,2,4}	Nil
<u>Roy G. Dyce</u> President and Chief Executive Officer of Pacific Northern Gas Ltd.; resident in British Columbia, Canada; a director of the Company since April 29, 1982	64,360
<u>Diane M. Fulton</u> Executive Director – Investments, Faculty Pension Plan, University of British Columbia; resident in British Columbia, Canada; a director of the Company since August 8, 2005 ^{2,5}	Nil
<u>Hugh C. Morris</u> Corporate Director; resident in British Columbia, Canada; a director of the Company since May 1, 1986 ^{1,3}	400

<i>Name, Principal Occupation and Other Information</i>	<i>Beneficial Ownership or Control of Common Shares</i>
<u>David J. Rowntree</u> Managing Director of Tricor Pacific Capital, Inc. (a private equity firm); resident in British Columbia, Canada; a director of the Company since December 18, 2003 ^{3,5}	Nil
<u>David G. Unruh</u> Corporate Director; resident in British Columbia, Canada; a director of the Company since March 21, 2002 ^{3,4}	Nil
<u>Arthur H. Willms</u> Corporate Director; resident in British Columbia, Canada; a director of the Company since April 28, 1983 ^{1,4,5}	Nil
<u>Janet P. Woodruff</u> Chief Financial Officer and Vice President Systems Development and Performance, Vancouver Coastal Health Authority; resident in British Columbia, Canada; a director of the Company since February 16, 2006 ²	Nil

- (1) *Member of the Executive Committee*
- (2) *Member of the Audit Committee*
- (3) *Member of the Environment, Health and Safety Committee*
- (4) *Member of the Corporate Governance Committee*
- (5) *Member of the Human Resources and Compensation Committee*

Officers

<i>Name, position and other information</i>	<i>Beneficial Ownership or Control of Common Shares</i>
<u>Robert F. Chase</u> Chairman of the Board British Columbia, Canada	Nil
<u>Roy G. Dyce</u> President and Chief Executive Officer British Columbia, Canada	64,360
<u>Greg B. Weeres</u> Vice President, Operations and Engineering, British Columbia, Canada	15,238
<u>Elizabeth A. Fletcher</u> Chief Financial Officer British Columbia, Canada	20,337

<i>Name, position and other information</i>	<i>Beneficial Ownership or Control of Common Shares</i>
<u>Kevin R. Teitge</u> Director, Corporate Development and Treasurer British Columbia, Canada	15,385
<u>Craig P. Donohue</u> Director, Regulatory Affairs & Gas Supply and Assistant Secretary British Columbia, Canada	8,538
<u>Kelly E. Stark-Anderson</u> Secretary British Columbia, Canada	Nil

During the last five years, all of the directors and officers have been employed in various capacities by the Company or by the companies indicated opposite their names except: Ms. Woodruff was Chief Financial Officer for Engage Energy Canada LP prior to 2003; Mr. K.R. Teitge was an independent consultant from June 2003 through January 2004 and was Director, Corporate and Project Finance, Westcoast Energy Inc. prior to January 1999 until June 2003; Ms. K.E. Stark-Anderson is engaged in the practice of law through KSA Law Corporation and from 2002 to 2006 was Assistant General Counsel and Corporate Secretary of Westcoast Energy Inc.

Audit Committee

The Terms of Reference of the Audit Committee of the Board of Directors of the Company is attached as Schedule A to this Annual Information Form.

Messrs. Morris and Chase and Mr. Trevor Johnstone served as the members of the Audit Committee during the fiscal year ended December 31, 2005. Mr. Johnstone resigned as a member of the Board on February 16, 2006 and Ms. Woodruff was appointed as a director to fill the vacancy on February 16, 2006 and became a member of the Audit Committee on that date. Mr. Morris resigned from the Audit Committee on February 16, 2006 and Ms. Fulton became a member of the Committee on that date. Each member of the Audit Committee is independent and all members are financially literate as defined under Multilateral Instrument 52-110 "Audit Committees". Ms. Woodruff, the Chair of the Committee, is a chartered accountant and holds a Masters of Business Administration from York University. She has experience in public practice and, for over fifteen years, held various senior positions in the accounting department of a large Canadian company prior to becoming Chief Financial Officer of Vancouver Coastal Health Authority. Mr. Chase was educated as a chartered accountant and has worked in areas related to financial management and reporting for over thirty years, including acting as a consultant for KPMG LLP and was employed as the Chief Financial Officer for various companies including the Westar Group. Ms. Fulton holds a Masters of Business Administration from York University and is a member of the Boards of Directors and the Investment Committees of Canadian Scholarship Trust Foundation and the Insurance Corporation of British Columbia. She has over twenty years experience in investment banking and investment fund management.

The Audit Committee is responsible for approving non-audit services to be provided by the auditors and does so in accordance with the requirements of its Terms of Reference.

INTERESTS OF MANAGEMENT AND OTHERS IN MATERIAL TRANSACTIONS

The Company had no material transactions with related parties during 2004, 2005, or 2006.

TRANSFER AGENT

The Company's transfer agent is Computershare Investor Services Inc. of Canada with registers maintained in Vancouver, Calgary, Regina, Winnipeg, Toronto and Montreal.

EXPERTS

The Company's auditors are Deloitte & Touche LLP, who are independent in accordance with the rules of professional conduct of the Canadian Institute of Chartered Accountants. The report of the auditors on the financial statements for the fiscal year ended December 31, 2006 has been filed on www.sedar.com with the securities regulators.

	Audit Fees	Audited-Related Fees⁽¹⁾	Tax Fees⁽²⁾	All Other Fees
2006	\$130,000	\$63,100	\$—	\$—
2005	107,000	150,000	12,500	—

⁽¹⁾ Audit-related fees pertain to review of annual and interim reports, audit of pension and savings plans, review and translation of the Company's long form prospectus and issuance of consent thereon.

⁽²⁾ Tax services include assistance with the preparation of corporate income tax returns, and review of tax planning strategies.

ADDITIONAL INFORMATION

Additional information concerning the Company can be found at www.sedar.com. Additional information, including information as to directors' and officers' remuneration and indebtedness, principal holders of the Company's securities, options to purchase securities and securities authorized for issuance under equity compensation plans, is contained in the Management Information Circular dated March 20, 2007 for the Company's 2007 Annual Meeting of Shareholders. Additional financial information is provided in the Company's consolidated financial statements for the year ended December 31, 2006. Management's Discussion and Analysis of the Company's 2006 Annual Report, is incorporated by reference into and forms an integral part of this annual information form. Copies of these documents may be obtained upon request from the Secretary, Pacific Northern Gas Ltd., 950 -1185 West Georgia Street, Vancouver, British Columbia, V6E 4E6.

SCHEDULE “A”

AUDIT COMMITTEE

TERMS OF REFERENCE

A. PURPOSE

The overall purpose of the Audit Committee is to ensure that: (i) the Corporation’s management has designed and implemented an effective system of internal financial controls, (ii) to review and report on the integrity of the consolidated financial statements of the Corporation, (iii) to review the Corporation’s compliance with regulatory and statutory requirements as they relate to financial statements, taxation matters and disclosure of material facts, and (iv) to monitor and oversee the independent auditors’ qualifications, independence and activities.

B. COMPOSITION, PROCEDURES AND ORGANIZATION

1. The Board of Directors of the Corporation (the “Board”), at its organizational meeting held in conjunction with each annual general meeting of the shareholders, shall appoint the members and the Chair of the Committee for the ensuing year. The Board may at any time remove or replace any member of the Committee and may fill any vacancy in the Committee.
2. The Committee shall consist of at least three members of the Board all of whom shall be independent as determined in accordance with applicable securities laws, rules, regulations and guidelines (“Securities Laws”). All Committee members shall be financially literate. For this purpose, financial literacy shall mean the ability of a member to read and understand a set of financial statements that present a breadth and level of accounting issues that are generally comparable to the breadth and complexity of the issues that can reasonably be expected to be raised by the Corporation’s financial statements. At least one member should have accounting or related financial expertise.
3. If the Chair is not present at any meeting of the Committee, one of the other members of the Committee present at the meeting shall be chosen by the Committee to preside at the meeting.
4. The Chief Financial Officer of the Corporation shall be the secretary of the Committee, unless otherwise determined by the Committee.
5. The Committee shall meet at least four times annually on such dates and at such locations as may be determined by the Chair of the Committee and may also meet at any other time or times on the call of the Chair of the Committee, the independent auditors or any two of the other members.

6. The quorum for meetings shall be a majority of the members of the Committee, present in person or by telephone or other telecommunication device that permits all persons participating in the meeting to speak and to hear each other.
7. Any two Directors may request the Chair to call a meeting of the Committee and may attend at such meeting or inform the Committee of a specific matter of concern to such Directors, and may participate in such meeting to the extent permitted by the Chair of the Committee.
8. Notice of the time and place of every meeting shall be given in writing or by e-mail or facsimile communication to each member of the Committee at least 24 hours prior to the time fixed for such meeting; provided, however, that a member may in any manner waive a notice of a meeting and attendance of a member at a meeting is a waiver of notice of the meeting, except where a member attends a meeting for the express purpose of objecting to the transaction of any business on the grounds that the meeting is not lawfully called.
9. The Chief Financial Officer shall develop and set the Committee's agenda, in consultation with the Chair and other members of management. The agenda and information concerning the business to be conducted at each Committee meeting shall, to the extent practical, be communicated to the members of the Committee sufficiently in advance of each meeting to permit meaningful review.
10. At the invitation of the Chair, one or more officers or employees of the Corporation may, and if required by the Committee shall, attend a meeting of the Committee. The independent auditors shall receive notice of and have the right to attend all meetings of the Committee. The Chief Executive Officer shall be invited to attend all meetings, except executive sessions and private sessions with the independent auditors.
11. The Committee shall fix its own procedure at meetings, keep records of its proceedings and report to the Board when the Committee may deem appropriate (but not later than the next meeting of the Board).
12. The Committee, when it considers it necessary or advisable, may retain, at the Corporation's expense, outside consultants or advisors to assist or advise the Committee independently on any matter within its mandate. The Committee shall have the sole authority to retain and terminate any such consultants or advisors or any search firm to be used to identify director candidates, including sole authority to approve the fees and other retention terms for such persons.
13. The internal auditors, if any, and the independent auditors shall have a direct line of communication to the Committee through the Chair and may bypass management if deemed necessary. The independent auditors shall report to the Committee and are ultimately accountable to the Board and the Committee, as representatives of the shareholders.

14. The Committee, through its Chair, may contact directly the independent auditors, the internal auditors, if any, and any employee of the Corporation as it deems necessary.
15. In discharging its responsibilities, the Committee shall have full access to all books, records, facilities and personnel of the Corporation, to the Corporation's legal counsel and to such other information respecting the Corporation as it considers necessary or advisable in order to perform its duties and responsibilities.

C. ROLES AND RESPONSIBILITIES

1. Overall Duties and Responsibilities

The overall duties and responsibilities of the Committee shall be as follows:

- a) to assist the Board in the discharge of its responsibilities relating to the quality, acceptability and integrity of the Corporation's accounting principles, reporting practices and internal controls;
- b) to assist the Board in the discharge of its responsibilities relating to compliance with disclosure requirements under applicable Securities Laws, including approval of the Corporation's annual and quarterly consolidated financial statements together with the Management's Discussion and Analysis;
- c) to establish and maintain a direct line of communication with the Corporation's independent auditors and internal auditors (if any) and assess their performance;
- d) to ensure that the management of the Corporation has designed, implemented and is maintaining an effective system of internal controls; and
- e) to report regularly to the Board on the fulfillment of its duties and responsibilities.

2. Independent Auditors

The duties and responsibilities of the Committee as they relate to the independent auditors shall be as follows:

- a) to recommend to the Board a firm of independent auditors to be engaged by the Corporation;
- b) to review, at least annually, with the independent auditors their independence from management, including a review of all other

significant relationships the auditors may have with the Corporation and to satisfy itself of the auditors' independence, the experience and the qualifications of the senior members of the independent auditor team and the quality control procedures of the independent auditor.

- c) to review and approve the fee, scope, staffing and timing of the audit and other related services rendered by the independent auditors and ensure the rotation of the lead audit partner as required by applicable Securities Laws;
- d) to be responsible for overseeing the work of the independent auditors and reviewing the audit plan prior to the commencement of the audit;
- e) to review the engagement reports of the independent auditors on unaudited financial statements of the Corporation and to review with the independent auditors, upon completion of their audit:
 - i) contents of their report;
 - ii) scope and quality of the audit work performed;
 - iii) adequacy of the Corporation's financial and auditing personnel;
 - iv) co-operation received from the Corporation's personnel during the audit;
 - v) internal resources used;
 - vi) significant transactions outside of the normal business of the Corporation;
 - vii) significant proposed adjustments and recommendations for improving internal accounting controls, accounting principles and management systems;
 - viii) the quality, acceptability and integrity of the Corporation's accounting policies and principles;
 - ix) the non-audit services provided by the independent auditors;
 - x) the effect of regulatory and accounting initiatives as well as off-balance sheet structures on the Corporation's financial statements;and report to the Board in respect of the foregoing;
- f) to implement structures and procedures to ensure that the Committee meets the independent auditors on a regular basis in the absence of management in order to review any difficulties encountered by the

independent auditors in carrying out the audit and to resolve disagreements between the independent auditors and management; and

- g) to pre-approve the retention of the independent auditor for any non-audit service and the fee for such service.

The Committee may satisfy the pre-approval requirement in subsection 2(g) if:

- i) the aggregate amount of all the non-audit services that were not pre-approved constitutes no more than five per cent of the total amount of revenues paid by the Corporation to its independent auditors during the fiscal year in which the services are provided;
- ii) the services were not recognized by the Corporation at the time of the engagement to be non-audit services; and
- iii) the services are promptly brought to the attention of the Committee and are approved, prior to the completion of the audit, by the Committee or by one or more members of the Committee to whom authority to grant such approvals has been delegated by the Committee.

The Committee may delegate to one or more independent members the authority to pre-approve non-audit services in satisfaction of the requirement in subsection 2(g) provided that the pre-approval of non-audit services by any member to whom authority has been delegated must be presented to the full Committee at its first scheduled meeting following such pre-approval.

3. **Internal auditors**

The duties and responsibilities of the Committee as they relate to the Corporation's internal auditors (if in place) are to:

- a) periodically review the internal audit function with respect to the organization, staffing and effectiveness of the internal audit department;
- b) review and approve the internal audit plan; and
- c) review significant internal audit findings and recommendations, and management's response thereto.

4. **Internal Control Procedures**

The duties and responsibilities of the Committee as they relate to the internal control procedures of the Corporation are to:

- a) review the adequacy, appropriateness and effectiveness of the Corporation's policies and business practices which impact on the integrity, financial and otherwise, of the Corporation, including those relating to internal auditing, insurance, accounting, information services and systems and financial controls, management reporting, code of conduct and risk management;
- b) review compliance under the Corporation's Code of business Ethics;
- c) review any issues between management and the independent auditors that could affect the financial reporting or internal controls of the Corporation;
- d) periodically review the Corporation's accounting and auditing policies, practises and procedures and the extent to which recommendations made by the internal audit staff (if any) or by the independent auditors have been implemented; and
- e) Ratify membership of the Disclosure Committee, as required, as appointed by the Senior Officers of the Corporation.

5. **Public Filings, Policies and Procedures**

The Committee is charged with the responsibility to:

- a) review and approve for recommendation to the Board:
 - i) the annual report to shareholders, including the annual audited financial statements, with the report of the independent auditors, the Management's Discussion and Analysis and the impact of unusual items and changes in accounting principles and estimates;
 - ii) the interim report to shareholders, including the unaudited financial statements, the Management's Discussion and Analysis and the impact of unusual items and changes in accounting principles and estimates;
 - iii) earnings press releases;
 - iv) the annual information form;
 - v) prospectuses; and
 - vi) other public reports and public filings requiring approval by the Board;

and report to the Board with respect thereto;

- b) review regulatory filings and decisions as they relate to the Corporation's consolidated financial statements;
- c) ensure adequate procedures are in place for the review of the Corporation's disclosure of financial information extracted or derived from the Corporation's financial statements, other than the disclosure described in subsection 5(a) above, and periodically assess those procedures;
- d) review with management, the independent auditors and if necessary with legal counsel, any litigation, claim or other contingency, including tax assessments, that could have a material affect upon the financial position or operating results of the Corporation and the manner in which such matters have been disclosed in the consolidated financial statements;
- e) review with management and with the independent auditors any proposed changes in major accounting policies, the presentation and impact of significant risks and uncertainties, and key estimates and judgements of management that may be material to financial reporting;
- f) review with management and with the independent auditors (i) all critical accounting policies and practises to be used by the Corporation in preparing its financial statements, (ii) all material alternative treatments of financial information within GAAP that have been discussed with management, ramifications of the use of these alternative disclosures and treatments, and the treatment preferred by the independent auditor, and (iii) other material communications between the independent auditor and management, such as any management letter or schedule of unadjusted differences;
- g) review general accounting trends and issues of auditing policy, standards and practices which affect or may affect the Corporation;
- h) review the appointments of the Chief Financial Officer and any key financial executives involved in the financial reporting process;
- i) establish procedures for:
 - i) the receipt, retention and treatment of complaints received by the Corporation regarding accounting, internal controls, or auditing matters; and
 - ii) the confidential, anonymous submission by employees of the Corporation of concerns regarding questionable accounting or auditing matters.

- j) review and approve the issuer's hiring policies regarding employees and former employees of the present and former independent auditors of the Corporation;
- k) review and approve related party transactions.

6. **Pension and Savings Plans**

The Committee is charged with the responsibility to:

- a) Approve the Statements of Policies and Procedures for the Employees' Retirement Plan and other Plans as required and any amendments required thereto;
- b) Appoint the auditors, review the scope of the audit plan and review and approve the audited financial statements for the Employees' Retirement Plan, the Supplemental Executive Retirement, the Employee Savings Program, the Group RRSP and the non-pension post-retirement benefits (collectively, the "Plans");
- c) Receive a report from the Pension and Savings Plan Committee (the "PSPC") after each of its meetings and report to the Board thereon;
- d) Receive semi-annually a report from the PSPC including (i) a summary of the activities of the PSC during the relevant period; (ii) a summary and evaluation of the investment performance of the funds associated with the Plans (the "Funds") for the applicable period; (iii) where there has been any change in any Investment Manager during the relevant period, a summary of the factors leading up to the decision for such change, a report on the process that was undertaken to implement such change and a summary of the factors that influenced the decision of the Committee in selecting any new Investment Manager; and (iv) where there has been any change in any third party providers of pension-related services during the relevant period (other than changes in Investment Managers), including actuaries, auditors, pension consultants and outsourcers of pension administration, a report on such change; and report to the Board thereon.
- e) Receive an annual report from the PSPC including (i) the audited financial statements of the Plans and the Funds; (ii) a summary of the changes in the liabilities of the Plans with sensitivity analysis to interest rates, demographic factors and any other factors that may be indicated from time to time; (iii) The Statement of Investment Policy and Procedures for each of the Funds, containing such amendments as have been recommended by the PSPC.

7. **Calendar**

The Committee will develop a calendar of activities, attached as Appendix A, to be undertaken by the Committee for each ensuing year and to submit the calendar in the appropriate format to the Board of Directors on an annual basis.

Revised: October 24, 2005

AUDIT COMMITTEE

CALENDAR OF ACTIVITIES

	<u>Feb</u>	<u>Mar</u>	<u>April</u>	<u>July</u>	<u>Oct</u>
Review and Approve Financial Statements and Reports:					
• Financial Statements.	✓		✓	✓	✓
• Interim Report, including MD&A.			✓	✓	✓
• Annual Report, including MD&A.	✓				
• Annual Information Form.		✓			
• Review Major Accounting Policies and Significant Estimates.	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓
• Consider Changes in Accounting Principles and whether Industry/Standards are being followed.	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓
• Consider Unresolved Differences of Opinion between Management and the Auditor.	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓
External Auditors:					
• Review Scope of Audit and Audit Plan.				✓	
• Discuss Audit Report and Findings.	✓				
• Review Recommendations and Response to Audit Report.	✓				
• Review Auditor Independence.	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓
• Review Cooperation and Services Provided by Management.	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓
• Recommend Appointment of Auditors.	✓				
• Review Audit Fees.	✓				
• Meeting with Auditors - without Management.	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓
• Review Non-Audit Services.	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓

	<u>Feb</u>	<u>Mar</u>	<u>April</u>	<u>July</u>	<u>Oct</u>
Risk Management and Control:					
• Review Effectiveness of Internal Audit Function and Review the Internal Audit Plan (if any).			✓		
• Review Internal Audit Findings (if any).				✓	
• Review of Disclosure Controls and Procedures	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓
• Annual Assessment of Compliance with the Code of Business Ethics.	✓				
• Review of Deferred Charges.	✓		✓	✓	✓
• Receive Report on Derivatives.	✓		✓	✓	✓
• Adequacy of Allowance for Doubtful Accounts.	✓		✓	✓	✓
• Review of incidents raised through the Whistleblower program	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓
• Assessment of Adequacy of Insurance Coverage.	✓				
• Review Philosophy on Control and Information Systems.				✓	
• Annual Review of Compliance with Legal and Regulatory Responsibilities.	✓				
• Annual Review of Delegation of Authority to Management and of Management's Compliance.	✓				
Pension and Savings Plans Committee:					
• Receive Minutes of Meetings of PSPC	✓		✓	✓	✓
• Receive Semi-Annual Report from PSPC	✓			✓	
• Receive Annual Report from PSPC			✓		
• Appoint Auditors and Review Scope of Audit for the Funds.			✓		
• Review Audited Financial Statements for the Funds.				✓	
Other:					
• Adequacy of Complement in Accounting, Customer Billing and Finance.					✓

	<u>Feb</u>	<u>Mar</u>	<u>April</u>	<u>July</u>	<u>Oct</u>
• Assess Circumstances Adverse to the Company.	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓
• Review any Material Litigation.	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓
• Monitor Procedures for Reviewing Officers' Expenses.					✓
• Review Appointment of Financial Personnel			✓		
• Review Terms of Reference and Calendar of Activities for Ensuing Year.					✓

Revised: October 24, 2005